Chapter 2  
The Cost Function

SOLUTIONS

Eldenburg & Wolcott 2e August 2010

**LEARNING OBJECTIVES**

Chapter 2 addresses the following questions:

Q1 What are different ways to describe cost behavior?

Q2 What process is used to estimate future costs?

Q3 How are the engineered estimate, account analysis, and two-point methods used to estimate cost functions?

Q4 How does a scatter plot assist with categorizing a cost?


Q5 How is regression analysis used to estimate a mixed cost function?

Q6 How are cost estimates used in decision making?

These learning questions (Q1 through Q6) are cross-referenced in the textbook to individual exercises and problems.

**COMPLEXITY SYMBOLS**

The textbook uses a coding system to identify the complexity of individual requirements in the exercises and problems.



**QUESTIONS**

**2.1** Fixed costs do not vary with small changes in activity levels. Fixed costs within a car rental agency include the salaries of managers, rent, lease, or depreciation on the building located on the rental lot, and advertising costs, among others.

**2.2** Variable costs change proportionately with changes in activity levels. Variable costs in a car rental agency include the cost to wash each car, inspection costs after each rental, and the cost of maps and supplies for the paperwork for each rental, among others.

**2.3** Mixed costs are partly fixed and partly variable. Examples of mixed costs in a car rental agency include the cost of wages if some part time workers have a regular schedule and others are called in during busy times. Another cost could be water used in washing the cars. Many water companies charge a flat fee for a minimum amount of water and then apply a charge that varies proportionately with the amount of water used.

**2.4** Outliers do not reflect normal operations and are much higher or lower than the other observations. Because they lie outside the range of normal operations, they may bias the results of analysis when developing a cost function, resulting in estimates of cost that are either too high or too low.

**2.5** This function has both fixed costs and variable costs. If at least part of the cost is variable; total cost increases as production volumes increase. If at least part of the cost is fixed, the average total per-unit cost decreases because the average fixed cost decreases as volume increases.

Total Cost

Q

**2.6** Several years’ worth of data for August would be helpful for estimating the overhead cost function for subsequent Augusts, but the August data should not be used for estimating the overhead cost function for other months during the year. It is highly unlikely that the August data would reflect the data during normal operations. However, August’s costs are probably a good estimate of the fixed costs for other months. When zero production occurs, only fixed costs are incurred.

**2.7** Any changes in the operating environment will affect costs. Therefore, managers need to consider whether there are upcoming changes when they develop a cost function. Resource prices change from period to period, processes may become more efficient, or improvements may be made in overall operations or administrative functions. If the effects of these changes on costs are not considered, the cost function will not accurately predict costs.

**2.8** The information leads to the conclusion that fixed costs exist because cost per unit changes between two levels of activity within the relevant range. The information is not sufficient to determine the amount of fixed costs or whether variable costs exist.

**2.9** The opportunities foregone could include spectator or participative sports activities, movies, going out to dinner, the opportunity to work at a part-time job, etc. The relevant cash flows include the cost of transportation, parking, tickets, food and beverages, and so on. There is no way to assign a quantitative value to the social experiences. It is difficult to identify a true opportunity cost because the costs and benefits of the next best alternative must be compared. However, lost wages, less the cost of transportation, can be quantified if the next if the next best alternative is working.

**2.10** Analysis of a scatter plot provides general information about whether a cost appears to be variable, fixed, or mixed. If there is a linear pattern in the scatter plot and the trend appears to go to zero, the cost could be variable. If a scatter plot with a linear trend intersects the vertical axis at a nonzero value, it could be mixed. If the scatter plot has no discernable pattern, the cost could be fixed. And if the pattern is linear with little or no slope, the cost could be fixed.

**2.11** The pattern of a cost over time in the accounting system, together with knowledge of operations, is used to classify costs as variable, fixed, or mixed. Costs such as managers' salaries are usually fixed; they are often directly associated in the general ledger with a particular department or product. Costs for variable materials used in the production process are usually available in the general ledger or in production records. Costs such as manufacturing overhead are often mixed; they tend to include fixed costs such as insurance and property taxes for the plant and variable costs such as indirect supplies used in manufacturing. For costs identified as mixed, another cost estimation technique such as the two-point method or regression analysis must be used to determine the fixed and variable components.

**2.12** Sunk costs are expenditures that have already been made. A common mistake that students make when dating is to consider their investment in time and money in deciding whether to break off a relationship that is no longer satisfactory. These are sunk costs that were invested to gather information about the person and relationship. A better decision can be made if only the current and relevant information is used in the decision. Students will have a variety of other examples from their personal experience.

**2.13** Many factors affect accountants’ abilities to identify measures used in a cost function. Historical data may be available and accurate, but cost behavior cannot be categorized perfectly for some costs. In addition, costs change over time and across a range of volumes, and these changes may be difficult to predict. If errors exist in the accounting records, costs will not be accurate and the cost function will not appropriately predict costs.

**2.14** The trend line developed using regression analysis incorporates all of the cost observations, while the two-point method uses only two observations. Because there is fluctuation in cost over time, better estimates are developed using more observations, because they better reflect the past fluctuations and therefore should better estimate future fluctuations.

**2.15** Small sample sizes may not reflect actual operations over a longer time period. Particularly if there is seasonality in production or sales, results from a small sample could be completely different from either the average volume of activity or the amount in high- or low-activity months.

**EXERCISES**

**2.16 Computer Manufacturer**

A. Production of a large monitor with a thin screen

B. If all of the parts for the monitor are currently used in the organization, all of the information is likely to be contained in the accounting records. But new parts are most likely needed, since the monitor is large and probably involves different technology to achieve thin size. Thus, estimates of costs from suppliers will be needed. In addition, estimates for the amount of labor time will be needed. Although labor cost per hour can be found in the records, the amount of labor time is likely to be different for this monitor than for other monitors. If machines are used in production, an estimation of machine hours is necessary to determine whether maintenance and repair costs will increase.

C. Estimating the cost of parts and the time involved in production is part of the engineered estimate of cost method.

D. The opportunity cost of using idle capacity for one product is the contribution of other uses of the capacity. If another product could be manufactured and sold, that product’s contribution margin is the opportunity cost. If the capacity can be rented or leased out, the rent or lease payments are the opportunity cost. If there are no other uses for the capacity, the opportunity cost is zero.

**2.17 Linear, Stepwise Linear, and Piecewise Linear Cost Functions**

A. TC = $10,000 + $8.00×Q



The cost function includes the following assumptions:

* Operations are within a relevant range of activity
* Within the relevant range of activity:
  + Fixed costs will remain fixed
  + Variable cost per unit will remain constant

B. TC = $25,000 + $8.00×Q, for Q ≤ 2,000

TC = $35,000 + $8.00×Q, for Q > 2,000



C. To estimate the costs at another production level, it is first necessary to estimate the cost function.

Convert the average costs to total costs for each production level:

Total cost at 10,000 units = 10,000 × $45 = $450,000

Total cost at 12,000 units = 12,000 × $44 = $528,000

Calculate the variable cost per unit using the two-point method:

 = ($528,000 - $450,000)/(12,000 – 10,000)

= $78,000/2,000 = $39 per unit

Use one data point in the total cost function and solve for F:

Using the data for 10,000 units:

$450,000 = F + $39×10,000

F = $450,000 - $390,000 = $60,000

Combining the fixed and variable costs to create the cost function:

TC = $60,000 + $39×Q

Estimated total cost at 15,000 units:

TC = $60,000 + $39×15,000 = $60,000 + $585,000 = $645,000

Estimated cost per unit = $645,000/15,000 = $43

D. Inserting $10,000 revenues into the cost function, total cost is estimated as:

TC = $5,000 + 45%×$10,000 = $9,500

**2.18 Piecewise Linear Cost Function**

A. TC = $50,000 + $10.00×Q, for Q < 1,000

For Q > 1,000:

TC = $50,000 + (1,000 × $10.00) + $9.00× (Q-1,000)

TC = $50,000 + $10,000 + $9.00×Q - $9,000

TC = $51,000 + $9.00×Q



Slope is $10 per unit

Slope is $9 per unit

B.If the accountant did not detect that there were two different relevant ranges, the cost function mismeasurement depends on the value of Q. There are three general situations:

1. If all of the data estimation points occurred when Q was ≤ 1,000 units, then the cost function would appear to be: TC = $50,000 + $10.00×Q. This cost function would provide reasonable estimates for Q ≤ 1,000 units but would overestimate total cost for Q > 1,000 units.

2. If all of the data estimation points occurred when Q was > 1,000 units, then the cost function would appear to be: TC = $51,000 + $9.00×Q. This cost function would provide reasonable estimates for Q > 1,000 units but would underestimate total cost for Q ≤ 1,000 units.

3. If the data estimation points occurred across the two relevant ranges, then the cost function would be some mixture of the functions for the two relevant ranges. This cost function will either overestimate or underestimate costs for almost any level of Q (see Exhibit 2A.3 and 2A.4.

**2.19 Bison Sandwiches**

A. If total variable costs were $8,000 on total sales of $32,000, then variable cost per dollar of revenue is calculated as follows:

$8,000/$32,000 = 0.25, or 25% of sales

Combining fixed and variable costs, the cost function is:

TC = $20,000 + 25%×Total sales

B. Assumptions: Fixed costs remain fixed within the relevant range, and variable costs remain constant within the relevant range. In addition, this particular cost function assumes that variable costs are driven by sales. Chapter 3 will point out another assumption for this cost function: the sales mix (the proportion of sales of different products) remains constant within the relevant range.

**2.20 Toyco**

A. Direct labor appears to be a fixed cost; therefore, the cost function is TC = $95,000.

B. There are no apparent outliers; all of the observations appear to be close to or on the same slope line:

C. Using the high-low method:

(125,000 – 75,000)/(5,000 – 3,000) = 50,000/2,000 = $25 per unit

TC = F + VQ, so $125,000 = F + ($25×5,000)

V×Q = $125,000, so F = 0; Direct materials are a variable cost.

The cost function is: TC = $0 + $25×Units Produced

The output from regression analysis is shown below. Because there is no variation (i.e., materials always cost $25 per unit), the statistics are not meaningful. Regression analysis indicates the same cost function as calculated above using the high-low method:  
TC = $0 + $25×Units Produced

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| SUMMARY OUTPUT | |
|  |  |
| *Regression Statistics* | |
| Multiple R | 1 |
| R Square | 1 |
| Adjusted R Square | 1 |
| Standard Error | 0 |
| Observations | 5 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Coefficients* | *Standard Error* | *t Stat* | *P-value* |
| Intercept | -2.91E-11 | 0 | 65535 | #NUM! |
| Units Produced | 25 | 0 | 65535 | #NUM! |

**2.21 Barney’s Pizza**





TC = $2,165 + $5.35×Number of pizzas

Students might have made different assumptions about the behavior of some of the costs. For example, at least some of the part-time help wages is likely to be fixed because a minimum number of workers is needed when the pizza parlor is open. Thus, it would be reasonable to classify wages as a fixed cost.

1. Total cost for 700 pizzas: TC = $2,165 + $5.35×700 pizzas = $5,910

C. Assumptions: Fixed costs remain fixed within the relevant range, and variable costs remain constant within the relevant range.

Factors that could cause the assumptions to be incorrect next month include the following. Students may think of other factors.

* Changes in any of the individual fixed costs, such as an increase in rent or insurance
* Changes in purchase price for variable costs such as the pizza dough, sauce, or soft drinks
* Change in the customer tastes leading to a change in the proportion of different types of pizzas sold, in turn changing the average cost of toppings or other ingredients

**2.22 Cost function, rejection rate**

A. Average wage per hour: ($28+$20+$16+$16+$12)/5 = $18.40 per hour

Employees work 7 out of 8 hours or 87.5% of the time, so the effective rate wage rate for production time = $18.40/0.875 = $21.03 per hour

Twenty units can be made per hour, but 10% (2 units) are defective, so 18 good units are made

Direct labor cost per unit = $21.03/18 = $1.17 per good unit

B. Arguments can be made for either treatment:

* Including the cost of a temp worker as part of overhead would increase the accuracy of the cost function for direct labor cost. Illnesses cannot be predicted, so the number of absences (temp workers) cannot be predicted.
* If this cost is added to overhead, the total employee cost will always be underestimated by the amount paid to the temp workers, which could be a problem. This method would reduce the amount of bookkeeping because hours do not have to be tracked to individual batches or units.
* No matter how it is recorded, the cost of temp labor will be treated as product costs in inventory and cost of goods sold.

**2.23 Equipment maintenance department**

A. Following are the cost categorizations

Fixed Variable

Manager’s salary $3,200

Supplies $5.23

Insurance 250

Electricity 62

Property tax 100

Repair manuals 90 \_\_\_\_\_

Total $3,702 $5.23

**Supplies**: This cost appears to be completely variable and varied between $4.96 and $5.25 per call monthly. The average cost for the four months was $5.23.

**Electricity**: Varies with weather, so May’s bill may best reflect costs in June

**Property tax**: Should be assigned monthly. The total cost is $1,200 for 12 months, or $100 per month.

**Repair manuals:** This is a fixed cost that varies slightly from month to month. The best estimate is the average cost over the four months, or $90 per month.

B. Overhead cost for June = $3,702 + $5.23×135 = $4,408.05

C. Average overhead cost = ($3,819+$3,939+$4,730+$4252)/(90+100+140+125) = $36

Estimated cost for 135 units = $36×135 = $4,860

*Note*: This estimate is likely to overestimate cost because fixed costs in prior periods are spread over fewer units, on average.

D. Developing a cost function with fixed and variable costs is more accurate than using average costs. An average cost would only be accurate if the value of the numerator used to determine the average was the same number used in future predictions. If volume is greater than this amount, the cost estimate will be too high; and if the volume is less than this amount, the estimate will be too low.

**2.24 Yummy Yogurt**

[*Note about problem complexity*: Item A is coded as “Extend” because judgment is needed for categorization.]

A.

Fixed Variable

Cost of ingredients $4,500

Rent $1,000

Store attendant (salaried) 2,300 \_\_\_\_\_\_

Total Costs at $9,000 in sales $3,300 $4,500

B. In many organizations, costs vary with dollars of revenue. In this type of situation, total revenue (TR) instead of quantity (Q) can be used in the cost function:

Total variable cost/Total revenue = $4,500/$9,000 = 0.50, or 50% of revenue

Combining fixed and variable costs, the cost function is:

TC = $3,300 + 50%×Total revenue

C. The opportunity cost is the contribution margin from the products sold with the flavor that has been replaced.

D**.** The cost of rent is irrelevant because it will not change with either alternative.

**2.25 Pizza Shop and Fishing Boat**

[*Note about problem complexity*: Items C, E, F, and G are coded as “Extend” because they require substantial business knowledge and/or ability to visualize cost behavior.]

A. B F, V, or M

There would most likely be hourly wages in both types of businesses (assuming employees are hired). In the pizza shop, employees are likely scheduled in advance on a fixed schedule. Some may be sent home if business is very slow. Therefore, these costs would be mixed with a large proportion of the cost fixed. Hourly wages on the fishing boat most likely vary with the number of days or hours the boat is out fishing. While hourly wages may be related to number of fish caught, because of uncertainty in the success of each fishing expedition, they are more likely related to time on the boat than pounds of fish.

B. PS V

Ingredients are used in making pizzas, and the cost would vary with number of pizzas produced. There most likely would not be any ingredients in a fishing boat business.

C. B F or M

Employee benefits occur in any business in which employees are hired. Benefits might include mandated items such as social security, workers’ compensation, and unemployment insurance, as well as voluntary items such as health insurance and retirement benefits. Benefit costs often vary with level of wages or salaries, so they would tend to be fixed or mixed (see Item A above).

D. FB F

There would be no reason to incur fishing equipment costs in a pizza business. In the fishing boat business, this cost would most likely be fixed because the cost would not vary within a relevant range of activity.

E. B F

Some type of utility costs (such as water and electricity) would be incurred in both types of business, although the cost would probably be much higher for the pizza business because of the utilities needed to run the pizza shop. For the fishing boat business, there might be some utility costs for the fishing operation and for a business office. In general, the utility costs for these two businesses would tend to be fixed (would not vary with production).

F. PS F

Most pizza shops incur advertising costs such as flyers, newspaper advertisements, and yellow pages advertisements. If the fishing boat is for tourists, advertising costs would be incurred, however it would be unusual for a commercial fishing boat business to incur advertising costs because the customers are most likely canneries and distributors with whom the fishermen have an ongoing relationship. Because advertising costs tend to be discretionary, they are treated as fixed costs.

G. B F or M

Any type of business will have insurance costs. The cost might be fixed or mixed, depending on how the insurance cost is calculated. It will be a fixed cost if it is a flat amount, but it might be mixed if a portion of the cost relates to levels of business activity.

**2.26 Nursery Supply**

A. Each cost function is written using the regression intercept term as the fixed cost and the slope as the variable cost:

1. TC = $55,000 + $21.00 × assembly hours

2. TC = $20,000 + $31.00 × labor hours

3. TC = $38.00 × machine hours (*Note:* Because the p-value for the t-statistic is 0.25, the intercept is not statistically different from zero. Therefore, the fixed cost is assumed to be zero.)

B. Assembly hours explain about 31% of the variation in overhead. The remaining 69% is unexplained.

C. The p-value for the intercept in the regression of overhead cost against machine hours is 0.25. This means that there is a 25% probability that the intercept (fixed cost) is zero instead of $10,000. If this cost is used in a cost function, it will be zero one out of four times, which means that it is not a very accurate reflection of the expected cost.

D. The p-value of the slope in the labor hours regression is 0.01, which means that there is a 1% probability that the variable cost for overhead related to labor hours could be zero instead of $31.00 per labor hour.

E. The highest adjusted R-Square is 60% for machine hours. The intercept coefficient has a high p-value, so if we assume there is a fixed cost portion in total cost, we have a 25% chance of being wrong. Therefore, we ignore the fixed cost and assume that the overhead cost is strictly variable. Because the adjusted R-Square is higher than the other two models, machine hours is the best cost driver.

F. Managers cannot know future costs. Nevertheless, they need to estimate these costs to make decisions. A cost function helps managers estimate future costs; it can also be updated to incorporate current estimates of future costs so that predictions are as precise as possible. Using a model such as the cost function also helps managers be more methodical in their approach to cost estimation, improving the quality of cost estimates. Higher quality estimation methods provide higher quality information for decision making.

**2.27 Hamburger Haven**

Following are calculations for the cost per unit of each ingredient and the cost of plan and cheeseburgers:

Cheeseburger

Cost per Unit Plain With Everything

1. Hamburger patty ($1.69/7) $0.2414 $0.2414 $0.2414

2. Hamburger bun ($1.29/12) 0.1075 0.1075 0.1075

3. Dill pickles [($8.95/2,000) × 4] 0.0179 0.0179

4. Tomato ($.69/8) 0.0863 0.0863

5. Lettuce ($.59/40) 0.0148 0.0148

6. Mayonnaise [$1.49/(16 × 4)] 0.0233 0.0233

7. Mustard ($.79/150) 0.0053 0.0053

8. Catsup ($.99/50) 0.0198 0.0198

9. Cheese ($2.59/16) 0.1619 0.1619

10. Onions ($.15/45) 0.0033 0.0033

$0.3489 $0.6815

A. Cost of plain burger = $0.3489 (calculated above)

B. Cost of burger with everything except cheese = ($0.6815 - $0.1619) = $0.5196

Suggested selling price: 300% × $0.5195 = $1.56

C. Selling price of cheeseburger with everything: 300% × $0.6815 = $2.04

Therefore, the price of the plain hamburger should be: $2.04 - $0.25 = $1.79

Some students will view this higher price as "unfair." However, there is no moral obligation to maintain a 300% markup on each item. It is just a general guideline. Market forces will dictate achievable prices.

D. In the current business environment, most organizations are price takers, that is, they set prices considering their competitors’ prices. If Ms. Long’s prices are too high, volumes will drop because customers will go to other fast food restaurants that sell hamburgers. Alternatively, if her prices are too low, she foregoes profits and people may believe there could be quality problems with her food. Therefore, she needs to know what competitors charge for a similar quality sandwich and price hers competitively. This means that her costs need to be below the competitive price. Alternatively, if Ms. Long is able to differentiate her hamburgers from others in the market, then she may be able to charge a price that is higher than competitors—as long as customers are willing to pay the higher price.

**2.28 Frida’s Tax Practice**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Cost** | **Cost Object** | | |
| **Tax Department** | **Personal Returns** | **Mr. Gruper’s Personal Tax Return** |
| A. Subscription to personal tax law updates publication | D | D | I |
| B. Ink supplies for tax department photocopy machine | D | I | I |
| C. Portion of total rent for tax department office space | I | I | I |
| D. Wages for tax department administrative assistant | D | I | I |
| E. Tax partner's salary | D | I | I |
| F. Charges for long distance call to Mr. Gruper about personal tax return questions | D | D | D |
| G. Tax partner lunch with Mr. Gruper; the tax partner has lunch with each client at least once per year | D | D | D |

**Explanation for Parts D and E:** Notice that the wages of the tax department administrative assistant are considered a direct cost when the cost object is the entire tax department but are considered indirect for the other two cost objects. The benefits of tracking the cost at that level do not exceed the benefits of the information that would be obtained. For the firm to make this cost direct for the personal returns cost object, the administrative assistant would have to maintain detailed time records as to time spent working on personal returns versus corporate returns. Now notice that the tax partner's salary is considered a direct cost for all three cost objects. CPAs do keep detailed time records. In a service business such as this, the CPA's time is the product being sold. The time records that the tax partner maintains support this cost as a direct cost. Of course, the tax partner probably spends some time in non-billable activities, so a portion of his or her salary is direct only to the tax department and indirect to the two other cost objects.

**2.29 Spencer and Church**

[*Note about problem complexity:* These are difficult questions because students will need to first visualize the costs (with very little information) and then apply chapter concepts. The Step 2 questions (A, B, and F) are the ones requiring significant assumptions to generate an answer.]

A. Staff wages – Could be fixed, variable or mixed (salary + overtime) for regular staff. If there is part time help and staff is scheduled only when work is needed, that cost would be variable. However, staff members are often salaried or work on a fixed schedule, in which case the total cost would be primarily fixed.

B. Clerical wages – Fixed unless overtime is regularly scheduled, and then mixed

C. Rent - Fixed

D. Licenses- Fixed

E. Insurance- Fixed

F. Office supplies - Mixed, mostly variable

G. Professional dues- Mostly fixed and discretionary

H. Professional subscriptions- Fixed and discretionary

I. FICA taxes (Social Security taxes) – Mixed – mostly fixed because most employee wages would be fixed

J. Property taxes- Fixed

K. Advertising – Fixed and discretionary

**2.30 Tax Plus**

A. Hours for two returns would be determined as follows; using the learning curve equation Y = Xr

Learning rate = 80%

 = 6 hours

X (units produced) = 2

Learning rate = (ln 0.80/ln2) =

Y = 6 hours×2-0.3219

Y = 4.8 hours (average time for each of the next two returns)

B.



As the accounting graduates become more familiar with the tasks involved in preparing simple tax returns, their productivity increases. However, as their performance improves, it improves less quickly for the next return. Eventually their learning will plateau, and their productivity rate will remain stable.

**2.31 Cost Function Using Regression, Other Potential Cost Drivers**

1. TC = $222.35×units sold

Notice that the T-statistic on the intercept (fixed cost) indicates that it is not likely to be different from zero. Therefore, the fixed cost is set at zero.

B. The adjusted R-Square indicates how much of the variation in the marketing department cost can be explained by variation in units sold. In this problem, the variation in units sold explains about 61% of the variation in marketing department cost.

C. Other possible cost drivers for marketing department costs could be revenue, number of advertisements placed, or profits. In addition, it is possible that marketing costs are discretionary. The cost analyst needs to gather information about how marketing costs are set each year. For example, the analyst could ask the CFO whether the marketing department budgets its costs through a negotiation process with top management. If this is the case, the cost is discretionary and will be set through the negotiating process.

**2.32 Glazed Over**

[*Note about problem complexity*: Items F and H are coded as “Extend” because judgment is needed for categorization.]

A. D or I, F Assuming that employee time can be traced to each bowl, wages are a direct cost. However, if time is not traced to individual bowls (for example, if the employee performs different types of tasks and records are not kept of the types of work performed) or if the employee does not work directly in production, then wages would be an indirect cost. Wages are fixed because they remain constant (the employee always works 40 hours).

B. D, V Assuming that the cost of clay can be traced to each bowl, it is a direct cost. Total clay cost will vary with the number of bowls made.

C. I, F Depreciation on the kilns is indirect because it cannot be directly traced to individual bowls, that is, it is a common cost of production for all of the bowls that are heat-treated in the kiln. The cost probably does not depend on production volume (assuming depreciation is not based on units produced), making it a fixed cost. Note: Depreciation using a method such as declining balance is not constant over time, but would still be considered fixed because it does not vary with production volume.

D. D or I, V If the glaze is expensive and therefore a relatively large cost, it is most likely traced to individual bowls, making it a direct and variable cost. If the cost of glaze is very small, it might not be traced to individual bowls, making it an indirect cost. Also, if the cost is small it might be grouped with overhead costs (variable).

E. I, V or F Brushes for the glaze are most likely used for multiple bowls, making them a common cost for multiple units and an indirect cost. They might be fixed or variable, depending on whether they are “used up” after a certain quantity of production.

F. I, F or M Electricity is an indirect cost because it cannot be traced to individual bowls. It might be fixed or mixed, depending on what causes the cost to vary. If the kiln is electric, part of the cost might vary proportionately with volume.

G. I, F The business license is not related to production, making it an indirect cost. It is mostly likely a flat fee or is calculated on a basis unrelated to production volume, making it a fixed cost.

H. I, F Advertising is not directly related to production, making it an indirect cost. This cost is also discretionary, so it is treated as fixed.

I. I, F or M Pottery studio maintenance is an indirect and fixed cost if it is the same payment every week. If it is an hourly charge, it is probably a mixed cost, because the production area may need more cleaning as volumes increase.

J. D or I, V Assuming that the cost of packing materials is traced to each bowl, this is a direct cost. If the packing materials are not traced (for example, if the cost is too small to justify tracing them), then this cost could be indirect. Packing costs are most likely variable because they will increase as production increases.

**2.33 Central Industries**

[*Note about problem complexity*: Item B is not coded as Step 1 because it is fairly clear which cost driver appears to be best.]

The data for this problem can be found on the datasets file for chapter 2, available on both the Instructor and Student web sites for the textbook (available at www.wiley.com/college/eldenburg).

A spreadsheet showing the solutions for this problem is available on the Instructor’s web site for the textbook (available at www.wiley.com/college/eldenburg).

A.

**Potential Cost Driver: Output**



|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Regression Statistics* | |
| Multiple R | 0.78591283 |
| R Square | 0.61765897 |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.58824812 |
| Standard Error | 182.119724 |
| Observations | 15 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Coefficients* | *Standard Error* | *t Stat* | *P-value* |
| Intercept | 884.026826 | 153.4342166 | 5.761602 | 6.58E-05 |
| Output | 0.52099624 | 0.113687834 | 4.582691 | 0.000514 |

**Potential Cost Driver: Direct Labor Hours**



|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Regression Statistics* | |
| Multiple R | 0.60187065 |
| R Square | 0.36224828 |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.31319046 |
| Standard Error | 235.210849 |
| Observations | 15 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Coefficients* | *Standard Error* | *t Stat* | *P-value* |
| Intercept | 861.457384 | 261.7549386 | 3.291084 | 0.005847 |
| Direct Labor Hours | 3.02569657 | 1.113464425 | 2.717372 | 0.017601 |

**Potential Cost Driver: Machine Setups**



|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Regression Statistics* | |
| Multiple R | 0.97410583 |
| R Square | 0.94888217 |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.94495003 |
| Standard Error | 66.5913391 |
| Observations | 15 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Coefficients* | *Standard Error* | *t Stat* | *P-value* |
| Intercept | 126.815737 | 93.42597461 | 1.357393 | 0.197755 |
| Machine Setups | 29.6778973 | 1.910475729 | 15.5343 | 8.96E-10 |

B. In a scatter plot, a good cost driver would present a linear or football-shaped positive slope or trend. If the observations are widely scattered, the cost driver does not explain the variation in cost and either it is the wrong driver, or the cost is mostly fixed. In Part A, all three potential cost drivers appear to be positively related to maintenance costs. However, machine setups appears to be the most likely cost driver and direct labor appears to be the least likely cost driver. Sometimes a cost that is mostly variable can be identified from a scatter plot. For example, the trend line for machine setups looks as if the intercept could be zero or very close to zero. This indicates that the cost could be totally variable.

C. The regression results and cost function for the three potential cost drivers are:

Potential Cost Driver Adj. R-Square Cost Function

Output 0.588 TC = $884 + $0.521×Output

Direct labor hours 0.313 TC = $861 +$3.025×Direct Labor Hours

Machine setups 0.945 TC = $29.68×Machine Setups

The machine setups intercept coefficient is not significant, so it is excluded from the cost function.

The regression using machine setups has the highest adjusted R-Square of 0.945. This means that variation in setups explains about 95% of the variation in cost.

D. In the machine setups regression, the t-statistic is significant for the independent variable but not for the intercept. This provides confidence that the slope coefficient (variable cost part of the cost function) is not zero, but does not provide confidence that the intercept (fixed cost part of the cost function) is different from zero. Therefore, the cost is likely to be totally variable: TC = $29.68×Machine Setups

E. The use of past cost data to predict the future assumes that future resource costs and volumes of activities will remain the same as in the past. Changes in many factors such as resource prices, business processes, the economic environment, and technology can cause future costs to be different than estimated.

**PROBLEMS**

**2.34 Big Jack Burgers**

A. Because sales is a potential cost driver, use total revenue (TR) instead of quantity (Q) in the cost function. Under the high-low method, the cost function is calculated using the highest and lowest values of the cost driver. First, the variable cost is calculated:

($68,333 – $43,333)/($1,132,100 – $632,100)

= $25,000/$500,000

= 0.05, or 5% of sales

The fixed cost is determined by substituting the variable cost into one of the high-low data points:

$68,333 = F + 5%×$1,132,100

F = $68,333 - $56,605 = $11,728

Thus, the total cost function is:

TC = $11,728 + 5%×Sales

B. The high low method uses the most extreme cost driver values, which could be outliers, that is, not represent the cost most of the time. If outliers are used when estimating the relationship between a cost and a potential cost driver, the cost function might not represent the actual cost, on average. Therefore, the cost function might provide poor estimates of future costs.

C. Chart of data with trendline added in Excel. To extend the trendline to the Y-axis: Select the trendline, right-click and select Format Trendline, and under Trendline Options enter the smallest value of the X-variable in the Forecast Backward box. Notice that the original trendline is darker than the extended part of the trendline.

It appears that the cost is most likely mixed. When forecasted backward, the trendline appears to meet the Administrative Costs axis enough above zero to suggest a fixed cost. The upward slope of the trendline indicates that variable costs are likely to exist (i.e., Administrative Costs are likely to increase as Sales increase).

D. Following is the regression output. A t-statistic greater than 2 is often interpreted as meaning that the coefficient is significantly different from zero. Notice that the t-statistic for the intercept coefficient is 2.172, but the p-value is greater than 10% at 0.162. Based on the p-value, there is a 16% probability that the intercept (fixed cost) is not different from zero. Because this regression has few observations, the p-value result for the t-statistic is atypical. Additional judgment is required to decide whether it is appropriate to include a fixed cost in the cost function.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Regression Statistics* | |
| Multiple R | 0.9680477 |
| R Square | 0.93711636 |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.90567454 |
| Standard Error | 3293.4038 |
| Observations | 4 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Coefficients* | *Standard Error* | *t Stat* | *P-value* |  |
| Intercept | 16800.2444 | 7734.73545 | 2.17205158 | 0.16197623 |  |
| X Variable 1 | 0.04466925 | 0.00818212 | 5.45937486 | 0.0319523 |  |

Analysis at the account level can be used to increase the understanding of this cost. If this cost pool includes items such as salaries and other fixed costs (insurance, etc), the regression intercept can be used as an estimate of the fixed costs. Then, the cost function would be **TC = $16,800 + 4.5% × Sales**. Alternatively, analysis at the account level might indicate that there are few fixed costs. In that case, fixed costs are likely to be zero and would be excluded from the cost function. Then, the cost function would be: **TC = 4.5% × Sales**

E. Because of unforeseen changes in cost behavior, a cost function may not provide a good estimate for the next month’s costs. The past costs used for estimation might not be representative, especially because so few observations were used in the estimation. Sales might not be the activity that drives administrative costs. There might be a change in business operations or in the economy that would cause future costs to be different than in the past.

F. An economically plausible driver is a cost driver that appears to be logically related to cost. That is, it is easy to believe that some variation in cost is related to variation in volume of the driver. At Big Jack Burgers, some administrative costs are likely to be fixed, such as managers’ salaries and equipment such as computers, copiers, and telephone. These costs are not related to any cost driver. Other costs, costs to order ingredients, track cash flows, hire workers, provide paychecks, among others, might increase (and decrease) as the amount of sales increase (and decrease). For example, the manager might handle most or all of these functions when sales are low, but hire a clerk or use outside services for more of these functions as sales increase. In this type of business, fixed costs are likely to be a large proportion of total cost, but variable costs could be sizeable enough to affect the cost function. Therefore, it is economically plausible that sales could be a driver for administrative costs, including variable costs would increase the accuracy of the cost function.  
  
On the other hand, administrative costs might include a large discretionary component. As sales and cash flows increase, more discretionary spending occurs. Thus, administrative costs might increase as sales increase, but the discretionary component of administrative costs might be unrelated to any cost driver.

**2.35 Scatter Plot, Cost Function Using Regression**

A. The plot shows costs that are widely scattered. However, there does appear to be a general upward trend. Total revenue does not appear to explain much of the variation in research and development costs, but it might explain some of the cost.

B. Using the regression results, the cost function is:

TC = $50,365 + 0.82%×Total revenue

C. The adjusted R-Square statistic is very low at 0.186. This means that variation in sales explains only about 18% of the variation in research and development cost. Future costs are not likely to be estimated accurately if the cost driver explains only a small part of the variation in the cost.

D. Several very general assumptions apply to a linear cost function. First, the cost is assumed to be linear within the relevant range. Therefore, fixed costs would remain fixed and variable costs would remain constant within that range. When regression analysis is used to specify a cost function, the underlying cost function is assumed to be linear and that the cost driver is assumed to be economically plausible as a cost driver, that is, the relation makes sense from an economic standpoint. In this problem, it may not be appropriate to assume that the cost function is linear. The scatter plot shows little evidence of linearity. In addition, research and development costs may not be driven by total revenue; these costs are often discretionary, that is, they are set by decision, usually annually. Managers set these types of costs depending on the organization’s strategies and on whether funds are available for research and development. Better cost estimates for discretionary costs can be obtained by gathering information about planned expenditures from the department head or from the managers who are responsible for costs.

**2.36 Susan’s Telephone Service**

A. The cost driver for long distance calls is the number of minutes on the telephone.

B. The fixed cost is the $20 flat fee. The variable cost is 10 cents per minute for those minutes over 500 per month.

C. Regression is useful for estimating a cost function when fixed and variable costs are unknown. In this problem, Susan already knows the cost function, so she does not need to estimate the cost function using regression or any other estimation technique.

D. Yes, to make a decision she needs to compare her costs under the old plan to what costs would be under the new plan.

E. She cannot be certain that she will use the same amount of time, on average, as she has in the past. Since her consulting work varies, the number of calls and whether they are long distance or local calls will vary.

F. Additional information could include the location of Susan’s future consulting work, the amount of traveling she will be doing since she cannot call from home when she is traveling, the cost of alternatives such as cellular service or any other types of telephone or communication service.

G. It is likely that Susan has to call people to conduct business, although she could use email. Since she probably can cut back on calls when her consulting work is not providing enough income, a portion of the cost is likely to be discretionary. Since she has to have telephone service to stay in business, part of the cost is committed and cannot be reduced.

H. The classification as direct or indirect depends on whether Susan’s calls are directly related to specific projects she works on or are indirect activities such as business promotion. It also depends on whether she can trace telephone calls to individual consulting jobs. Many cellular telephone bills do not list the calls made, so Susan may need to maintain her own records if she wishes to trace telephone usage. In most businesses, telephone costs are viewed as an indirect cost.

I. Pros:

* Susan might prefer the convenience of not switching telephone companies
* If Susan is happy with her current quality of service she might prefer to stay with the same company and not investigate other companies’ plans
* Susan may be able to predict her cost better, especially if she usually calls less than 500 minutes a month
* Below is Susan’s average cost per minute at different levels of calls per month.

Minutes Total Cost Average Cost

300 $20.00 $0.067

400 $20.00 $0.05

500 $20.00 $0.04

600 $20.00 + (600-500)×$0.10 = $30.00 $0.05

700 $20.00 + (700-500)×$0.10 = $40.00 $0.057

The average cost is lowest at exactly 500 minutes per month. If her calls are over 400 minutes or under 600 minutes, her phone bill will be less than it would be at a rate of 5 cents per minute, which appears to be the other alternative (although the 5 cents per minute rate might not be available for daytime week day calls).

Cons

* If Susan has a number of projects in her local area or will be traveling a lot, she may be paying for 500 minutes of service that she does not use
* If Susan’s calling volume exceeds 500 minutes per month, she will pay a very high rate of 10 cents per minute

**2.37 Fancy Furniture**

A. Hours per batch would be determined as follows; using the learning curve equation Y = Xr

 = 10 hours

X (units produced) = 4

Learning rate = (ln 0.90/ln2) =

Y = 10 hours×4-0.152

Y = 8.1 hours (average time for the first four batches)

B. There are many different reasons that the time could be different from the amount determined above. Here are some of the reasons; students may think of others. It is possible that the managers under- or overestimated the amount of hand work performed. In this case the learning rate would be wrong and so the time would also be wrong. In addition, it is possible that different types of wood affect the rate at which chairs can be produced. If a worker is absent one day, the rate will change if the replacement employee has never worked on this machine, or has a great deal of experience on the machine.

C. The chart suggests that a 90% learning curve is likely to occur if 25% of the work is hand assembly and 75% of the work is done by machine. Thus, if managers believe that 25% of the work is done by hand, then the chart suggests that the learning rate estimate is reasonable. However, if managers believe that more than 25% of the work is done by hand, then this chart suggests that the learning curve will be slower than the 90% estimate.

D. The observations of labor costs over the first few weeks would not be representative of the labor costs once productivity has stabilized; they would be higher than the labor costs in later periods. If these values are used in a regression to estimate a cost function, then the cost would be overestimated.

**2.38 Wildcat Lair**

A. and B.

Wildcat Lair costs: Fixed Variable

Purchases of prepared food $ $21,000

Serving personnel 30,000

Cashier 5,500

Administration 10,000

University surcharge 7,000

Utilities 1,500 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Totals $47,000 $28,000

Total revenue is the most likely cost driver for both variable costs. Food costs are likely to vary proportionately with sales, and the University surcharge is specifically based on sales.

C. Because revenue is the cost driver for both variable costs, total revenue (TR) instead of quantity (Q) can be used in the cost function:

Variable cost = $28,000/$70,000 = 0.40, or 40% or revenue

Combining fixed and variable costs, the cost function is:

TC = $47,000 + 40%×Total revenue

D. Using the cost function from Part C, the estimate of total costs given revenues of $80,000 is:

TC = $47,000 + 40%×80,000 = $79,000

Profit = Revenues – Total costs = $80,000 - $79,000 = $1,000

E. Lair’s fixed costs are assumed to be unchanged with the $10,000 increase in revenues. Only total variable costs are expected to increase, and the increase is estimated to be 40% of the increase in revenues or $4,000 ($10,000×40%). So, the additional profit from a $10,000 increase in revenues is expected to be $6,000 ($10,000 - $4,000). In July there was a loss of $5,000, so the estimated profit in August is $1,000 (-$5,000+$6,000).

F. If the university were to close the Lair, it would lose the revenues, less the fixed costs and the variable food costs. Because the university surcharge is an allocation within the university, this surcharge should be ignored when computing the university’s opportunity cost (assuming that the charge does not relate to any variable costs for the university that arise because of the Lair). Thus, for July the opportunity cost would have been $2,000—the operating loss of $(5,000) plus the university surcharge of $7,000.

To estimate the opportunity cost for August, the variable cost part of the cost function can be adjusted. Variable food costs are estimated to be 30% ($21,000/$70,000) of revenues. (This is the same as the previous 40% variable cost rate less the university surcharge of 10% of revenues.) The adjusted cost function is:

TC = $47,000 + 30%×Total revenue

During August, the opportunity cost is estimated to be:

Revenue $80,000

Fixed costs (47,000)

Variable costs (30%×$80,000) (24,000)

Net $ 9,000

**2.39 Polar Bear Ski Wear**

[*Notes about problem complexity:* Item A is not coded as Step 2 because this is explicitly discussed in the chapter. Item D will be VERY difficult for most students.]

A. In a retail business, electricity usually varies with hours of operation and possibly with the season (because of heating and air conditioning). A shop located near a ski area is likely to incur high heating costs during the winter. Electricity costs also vary with changes in electricity rates, but this is not considered a *cost driver* (a business activity that causes variations in total variable cost).

B. The shop is most likely a seasonal business, generating most its sales around the ski season (fall and winter). During the spring and summer months, the shop might experience very little business activity; it might even close when the ski area shuts down.

C. Assuming the highest electricity cost is during the winter when fewest bathing suits are sold, costs would be higher when there were fewer sales, so the trend would be the opposite of this plot. However, if the bathing suit shop is located in a geographic region where the highest electricity costs occur during the summer because of air conditioning, then the highest sales might coincide with the highest electricity costs.

D. Many costs can be related to volume of activity. For example, higher profits generally occur during periods when activity is high. Higher profits, in turn, generally mean there is more money available to spend on administrative travel, special promotions, employee training, and new office furniture or equipment. Although these expenditures are made because more money is available, they are discretionary expenditures and not *caused* by the level of activity. A correlation may appear in a scatter plot or regression analysis. However, correlation does not necessarily mean causation.

**2.40 Firm A and Firm B**

Costs for Firm A in the first year would be determined as follows; using the learning curve equation Y = Xr

 = $300 instead of hours

X (units produced) = 5

Learning rate = (ln 0.85/ln2) =

Y = $300×5-0.2345

Y = $205.69 (average cost for each of the 5 units)

Total cost = $205.69 × 5 = $1,028.45

Although each customer paid a different price, a 50% markup on total cost will cause sales to be:

$1,028.45 × 1.50 = $1,542.67

Firm A's income for last year was:

Sales $1,542.67

Costs 1,028.45

Income $ 514.22

Firm B set its selling price based on the average cost, given a 100 unit production level:

Y = Xr

Y = $300×100-0.2345

Y = $101.89 (average cost for each of the 100 units produced)

Given a 50% markup on cost, firm B's selling price is:

$101.89 × 1.5 = $152.84

Firm B's costs, assuming an 85% learning effect would be:

Y = $300×150-0.2345Y = $92.65 (average cost for each of the 150 units produced)

Firm B's income statement for last year was:

Sales (150 × $152.84) $22,926.00

Costs (150 × $ 92.65) 13,897.50

Income $ 9,028.50

Firm A's revenues for this year are:

(10 × $152.84) = $1,528.40

Firm A's costs may be computed as follows:

Y = $300×15-0.2345 (notice that the cumulative units produced is 15)

Y = $158.97 (average cost for each of the 15 units produced)

Total cost to produce the first 15 units (15 × $158.97) $2,384.55

Less costs from last year’s income statement for first five units 1,028.45

Cost to produce the 10 units for this year $1,356.10

Thus Firm A's income statement for this year is

Sales $1,528.40

Costs 1,356.10

Income $ 172.30

Firm B's calculation for its selling price is as follows:

Y = $300×300-0.2345

Y= $78.75 (average cost for each of the 300 units)

Note that the cumulative production for Firm B through this year is 300 units.

Total cumulative production cost (300 × $78.75) $23,625.00

Total costs to produce the first 150 (from last year’s income statement) 13,897.50

Total costs of this year’s production (150 units) $ 9,727.50

Average cost per unit = $9,727.50/150 units = $64.85

Thus Firm B's selling price this year was

$64.85 × 1.50 = $97.28

Firm B's income statement for this year is

Sales (150 × $97.28) $14,592.00

Costs (150 × $64.85) 9,727.50

Income $ 4,864.50

Note that Firm B's selling price for this year of $97.28 is lower than Firm A's average cost per unit: $1,356.10/10 = $135.61. Such results often lead to charges of "unfair dumping" but with large differences in cumulative production volumes, such extreme differences in cost can occur.

**2.41 Belford’s**

The data for this problem can be found on the datasets file for chapter 2, available on both the Instructor and Student web sites for the textbook (available at www.wiley.com/college/eldenburg).

A spreadsheet showing the solutions for this problem is available on the Instructor’s web site for the textbook (available at www.wiley.com/college/eldenburg).

A.



B. Sales is a potential cost driver because there appears to be a positive correlation between the marketing department costs and sales. There is a positive slope, and most of the observations appear to have a fairly linear trend.

C. Here is the regression output.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Regression Statistics* | |
| Multiple R | 0.93336396 |
| R Square | 0.87116829 |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.86656716 |
| Standard Error | 4015.08144 |
| Observations | 30 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Coefficients* | *Standard Error* | *t Stat* | *P-value* |
| Intercept | 65584.2608 | 6844.63816 | 9.58184484 | 2.4501E-10 |
| Sales | 0.01335108 | 0.00097028 | 13.7600071 | 5.5289E-14 |

Based on the regression results, the cost function is: TC = $65,584 + 1.34%×Sales

D. If the variable portion of the marketing cost is sales commissions, it is economically plausible for sales to be a cost driver.

E. Even if marketing department costs are discretionary, they may be positively correlated with sales. When more money is spent on marketing, sales may go up. In addition, discretionary costs such as marketing are often increased when profits increase. Assuming that profits are more likely to increase when sales increase, there would be a positive correlation between marketing department costs and sales.

F. Discretionary costs are set by decision, usually annually. Therefore, the cost estimate should not be based on past costs, using regression or any other technique. Instead, the decision maker(s) should be asked what next year’s cost will be.

**2.42 Peer Jets International**

The data for this problem can be found on the datasets file for chapter 2, available on both the Instructor and Student web sites for the textbook (available at www.wiley.com/college/eldenburg).

A spreadsheet showing the solutions for this problem is available on the Instructor’s web site for the textbook (available at www.wiley.com/college/eldenburg).

A.







B. None of the plots show a definite trend, but the plot for labor hours appears to have the least trend. Based only on the cost plots, labor hours could be deleted as a potential cost driver.

C. Costs and potential cost driver data are plotted to determine whether further analysis is necessary. Analysis of the plots involves looking for a linear or football-shaped positive slope or trend. If the observations are widely scattered, the cost driver does not explain the variation in cost; either the driver is wrong or the cost is mostly fixed. When a cost is mostly variable, it generally has a somewhat linear appearance and minimum values of both cost and units should be relatively close to zero. The plots help determine whether regression analysis should be performed using any of the potential drivers. The scatter plot might also suggest that some observations are outliers, indicate a nonlinear relationship (e.g., learning curve), or provide other useful information about the relationship of a cost with potential cost drivers.

D.

**Labor Hours Regression:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Regression Statistics* | |
| Multiple R | 0.11279932 |
| R Square | 0.01272369 |
| Adjusted R Square | -0.02253618 |
| Standard Error | 11114.8173 |
| Observations | 30 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Coefficients* | *Standard Error* | *t Stat* | *P-value* |
| Intercept | 150410.682 | 14812.50852 | 10.1543 | 6.86E-11 |
| Labor Hours | 4.56597156 | 7.600936029 | 0.600712 | 0.552864 |

Only the intercept term is significantly different from zero, so the cost function is estimated as:

TC = $150,411

**Machine Hours Regression:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Regression Statistics* | |
| Multiple R | 0.612063 |
| R Square | 0.3746211 |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.3522862 |
| Standard Error | 8846.1555 |
| Observations | 30 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Coefficients* | *Standard Error* | *t Stat* | *P-value* |
| Intercept | 117598.67 | 10291.47803 | 11.4268 | 4.68E-12 |
| Machine Hours | 38.217192 | 9.331580777 | 4.095468 | 0.000325 |

Both the intercept and slope coefficients are significant, so the cost function is estimated as:

TC = $117,599 + $38.22×Machine hours

**Raw Materials Regression:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Regression Statistics* | |
| Multiple R | 0.5033241 |
| R Square | 0.2533351 |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.2266685 |
| Standard Error | 9665.9786 |
| Observations | 30 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Coefficients* | *Standard Error* | *t Stat* | *P-value* |
| Intercept | 126216.91 | 10853.56778 | 11.62907 | 3.11E-12 |
| Raw Materials | 60.215128 | 19.53627459 | 3.082222 | 0.004579 |

Both the intercept and slope coefficients are significant, so the cost function is estimated as:

TC = $126,217 + $60.22× Raw materials

E. Labor hours can be eliminated as a potential driver because its coefficient is not significantly different from zero (see Part D). The coefficients for each of the other potential cost drivers are significantly different from zero, and the adjusted R-Squares from the regressions are:

Machine Hours 0.352

Raw Materials 0.226

Based on the simple regression results, machine hours appears to do the best job of explaining manufacturing overhead costs; however, this driver explains only 35% of the variation in cost and so it is not appropriate for predicting future cost.

F. Yes, the direct labor hours was not significantly related to manufacturing overhead costs using simple regression.

**2.43 Peer Jets International (continued)**

The data for this problem can be found on the datasets file for chapter 2, available on both the Instructor and Student web sites for the textbook (available at www.wiley.com/college/eldenburg).

A spreadsheet showing the solutions for this problem is available on the Instructor’s web site for the textbook (available at www.wiley.com/college/eldenburg).

A. Multiple regression with all three potential cost drivers:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Regression Statistics* | |
| Multiple R | 0.9092294 |
| R Square | 0.8266982 |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.8067018 |
| Standard Error | 4832.5558 |
| Observations | 30 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Coefficients* | *Standard Error* | *t Stat* | *P-value* |
| Intercept | 60988.489 | 10361.2349 | 5.886218 | 3.3E-06 |
| Labor Hours | -0.1959303 | 3.333162437 | -0.05878 | 0.953575 |
| Machine Hours | 48.778501 | 5.291558412 | 9.218173 | 1.12E-09 |
| Raw Materials | 82.976635 | 10.10654585 | 8.210187 | 1.08E-08 |

Comparison of simple and multiple regression results:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Adj. R2 | Intercept  t-stat  (p-value) | Independent Variables t-stat (p-value) | | |
| Labor Hours | Machine Hours | Raw Materials |
| Simple Regressions: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Labor Hours | 0.022 | $150,411 (<0.001) | $4.57 (0.55) |  |  |
| Machine Hours | 0.352 | $117,599  (<0.001) |  | $38.22  (<0.001) |  |
| Raw Materials | 0.226 | $126,217  (<0.001) |  |  | $60.22  (0.005) |
| Multiple Regression | 0.806 | $60,988  (<0,001) | $-0.20  (0.954) | $48.78  (<0.001) | $82.98  (<0.001) |

1. Labor hours does not appear to be a cost driver when using either simple regression or multiple regression; its coefficient is not significantly different from zero in either regression. Also, its coefficient is negative rather than positive in the multiple regression. Thus, labor hours can be eliminated as a potential cost driver.

Both machine hours and raw materials are positive and significantly different from zero when using simple regression and also when using multiple regression. The adjusted R-Square is far higher in the multiple regression (0.806) than in either of the simple regressions (0.352 and 0.226) for these two cost drivers. A combination of cost drivers does a much better job of explaining the variation in manufacturing overhead costs than either cost driver alone.

C. Multiple regression using machine hours and raw materials as cost drivers:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Regression Statistics* | |
| Multiple R | 0.90921678 |
| R Square | 0.82667515 |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.81383627 |
| Standard Error | 4742.5348 |
| Observations | 30 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Coefficients* | *Standard Error* | *t Stat* | *P-value* |
| Intercept | 60677.5902 | 8743.664851 | 6.939606 | 1.86E-07 |
| Machine Hours | 48.7422519 | 5.157604083 | 9.450561 | 4.71E-10 |
| Raw Materials | 82.925842 | 9.881964042 | 8.391636 | 5.29E-09 |

The cost function is: TC = $60,678 + $48.74×Machine hours + $82.93×Raw materials

D. Manufacturing can be a complex activity requiring a number of different tasks. Each task includes different activities. Costs for these activities are likely related to specific cost drivers. In this example, machine hours and raw materials explain different activity costs, such as machining work on units, and materials handling for the units. A better understanding of the manufacturing process improves the ability to determine the types and number of cost drivers that can be used in a more complete cost function.

**2.44 Software Solutions**

A. Regina has at least two choices in this situation. She can tell the Director of Finance that she cannot produce a very accurate budget within two days or she can pull together something that may not be very accurate. She may believe that her reputation as a diligent employee would suffer if she does not produce something. However, if she submits a budget based on last year’s budget and she knows that it is likely to be inaccurate, her reputation would also suffer. This is a potential ethical dilemma for Regina because the Direct of Finance believes that he can rely on her work when he presents the budget to the board. If Regina uses last period’s budget, the department amounts and total budget may be quite inaccurate, and the Director of Finance will present unreliable information to the board. When the budget is complete, the board will likely see it again and notice the discrepancies between the preliminary and actual budgets and wonder why the first budget was so inaccurate, and that could reflect negatively on the Finance Director. More importantly, the board may make inappropriate decisions based on faulty data.

B. The board of directors is responsible for the overall strategic direction of the company and monitors the performance of the CEO and top management. If board members have outdated and inaccurate information, they might draw erroneous conclusions about the performance of the organization and its top management. They may either praise or criticize top management when the situation does not warrant it. The board could also use the inaccurate information when approving decisions, such as a business expansion, which might not be in the company’s best interests.

C. Although board members are not directly involved in day-to-day operations, in their role of oversight, they need the most current information available and explanations for information that is not available. The relationship between the CEO and the board should be one of trust and confidence. If Regina submits unrealistically low budgets this month and then more accurate budgets next month, but with large increases in department costs, the board members might lose trust in the CEO’s ability to manage operations. Regina should submit the most current information she has and use last year’s budgets for departments that have not turned theirs in, with a flag indicating that the budgets for those departments are currently based on last year’s information.

**2.45 Brush Prairie High School**

The data for this problem can be found on the datasets file for chapter 2, available on both the Instructor and Student web sites for the textbook (available at www.wiley.com/college/eldenburg).

A spreadsheet showing the solutions for this problem is available on the Instructor’s web site for the textbook (available at www.wiley.com/college/eldenburg).

A. Other cost drivers for total maintenance cost could be number of rooms cleaned, square feet cleaned, number of hours students attend school, number of hours the building is open, or number of classes and activities per period. Students may have thought of other drivers that could be logically related to cleaning maintenance costs.

B.





C. Number of students should probably be removed as a cost driver because there does not seem to be a positive linear relationship between maintenance cost and number of students.

D.

**Regression results for maintenance cost and number of maintenance hours worked:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Regression Statistics* | |
| Multiple R | 0.920565237 |
| R Square | 0.847440356 |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.832184392 |
| Standard Error | 1217.06772 |
| Observations | 12 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Coefficients* | *Standard Error* | *t Stat* | *P-value* |
| Intercept | 9134.875134 | 965.5045837 | 9.461245 | 2.63E-06 |
| Maintenance Hours Worked | 35.74733262 | 4.796328319 | 7.453062 | 2.18E-05 |

**Regression output for maintenance cost and number of students:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Regression Statistics* | |
| Multiple R | 0.247329 |
| R Square | 0.061172 |
| Adjusted R Square | -0.03271 |
| Standard Error | 3019.173 |
| Observations | 12 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Coefficients* | *Standard Error* | *t Stat* | *P-value* |
| Intercept | -89867 | 130954.9 | -0.68624 | 0.508157 |
| Number of Students | 197.0261 | 244.0857 | 0.807201 | 0.438328 |

According to the adjusted R-Square statistics, changes in maintenance hours worked explains more than 80% of the changes in maintenance cost, while number of students explains none. Therefore, maintenance hours is a reasonable cost driver. The p-values on the T-statistics are very small, providing high confidence that both the intercept and slope are different from zero. The total cost function is:

TC = $9,135 + $35.74×maintenance hours worked.

E. It cannot be known for certain whether the number of maintenance hours is the best cost driver because every single possible cost driver cannot be identified. An unidentified cost driver could have a higher R-Square. However, it is logical to expect a strong relation between hours worked and cost. From the analyses performed, it is rational to conclude that hours worked will provide a reasonable estimate of future costs.

**2.46 Cost function Judgment and Method**

A. The cost object is the help line. Accounting records could be accessed to determine the wage rates and time worked for help support staff and supervisors. Analysis of general ledger entries could be used to determine past costs for phone service, supplies, and other miscellaneous costs. If the help line is housed in its own building, depreciation schedules, prepaid insurance schedules, etc. could be used to identify costs for building and occupancy costs. If the service is housed in a common building, cost allocation records could be used to identify past costs. The choice of information sources depends on how the past cost information is to be used.

B. Possible cost drivers include number of calls handled, number of hours worked, number of work stations, number of employees, or total number of Internet customers. Information about number of employees and hours worked is found in the payroll accounting system. Number of calls might have to be tracked by employees or by the telephone system. Number of customers is part of the revenue accounting records. Number of work stations might come from the department head.

C. It would be useful to obtain several years of monthly data from which to prepare scatter plots and run regression analysis. This data could be found in the accounting system, or it might need to be estimated if it has not been tracked in the past. Vendors, department heads, and others could be interviewed to identify any potential cost increases or other expected changes in cost behavior from prior periods.

D. If enough data points are available, regression analysis would probably be the best choice because it makes use of all data points and is more accurate than two-point methods, assuming a linear cost function. If data are not available for regression, a two point method might be best, with representative points selected from a scatter plot. Alternatively, analysis at the account level could be used to develop a cost function using information from the general ledger.

**2.47 Personal Cost Function**

A, B, C, and D. The answers to these questions depend on the individual student’s data and cost behavior.

E. In some ways, a personal budget estimation problem is similar to the cost estimation problem for a business. Some future costs can be estimated with high accuracy based on prior commitments (e.g., rent). Some costs are discretionary. Other costs are highly uncertain and subject to external factors that cannot be controlled.

**MINI-CASES**

**2.48 The Elder Clinic**

A and B.

**Salaries** are always fixed, and **rent** for this type of facility is usually fixed. **Utilities** cost varies with season not visits, it is considered fixed. The most current value is used to predict next period’s utilities. **Medical supplies** vary with number of patient visits; each patient requires a certain amount of supplies, such as tongue depressors, gloves, and so on. These would be variable. Some supplies, such as thermometers and blood pressure equipment, need to be replaced periodically and are fixed. Therefore, medical supplies is likely to be a mixed cost. **Other expenses** appear to increase and decrease with number of patient visits, but not proportionately. This analysis suggests that some of the other expenses are variable costs and some are fixed, so this cost is classified as a mixed cost.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Category | Fixed | Variable | Cost Driver | Mixed |
| Medical staff salaries\* | $14,115 |  |  |  |
| Medical supplies used\*\* | 219 | $3.49 | Patient visits | X |
| Administrative salaries\* | 3,412 |  |  |  |
| Rent\* | 1,100 |  |  |  |
| Utilities\* | 226 |  |  |  |
| Other expenses\*\*\* | 664 | 2.58 | Patient visits | X |
| Total Expenses | $19,736 | $6.07 |  |  |

\* The value in June is used for fixed costs because the increase in salaries will probably hold for July.

\*\* Using the high-low method, variable cost is ($3,182 - $2,934)/(849 – 778) = $3.49 per patient visits. Using March data for medical supplies cost and number of patient visits, solve for fixed cost in the equation $3,182 = F + $3.49×849 patient visits.

\*\*\* Use the same method as medical supplies for other expenses.

Given the preceding computations and cost summary, the cost function is:

TC = $19,736 + $6.07×Patient visits

C. At 940 patient visits, July expenses are estimated to be:

TC = $19,736 + $6.07×940 = $25,441.80

D. Many possible reasons could be listed. Here are some of the reasons:

* The managers will not know how many visits they will receive, and costs go up as the number of patient visits increases. Visits are affected by season, weather, current illnesses that are circulating in the area, and so on. Also, other medical clinics may open or close, affecting the number of visits at this clinic.
* Prices of all inputs could change (usually increase).
* Treatments and related costs may change as new drugs are available, new equipment is acquired, or there are changes in treatment procedures for certain illnesses.

E. This part asks students to describe the pros and cons of using the cost estimate to decide whether to raise patient fees; it does NOT ask students to discuss the pros and cons of raising patient fees. In other words, the answer should focus on the reliability of the cost estimate for decision making.

Arguments in favor of using the cost estimate include:

* The cost estimate is based on prior costs, which are likely to be reasonable predictors of cost in the near future.
* Many of the costs are fixed and are not likely to change in the immediate future.
* Because most costs are fixed, any error in the estimation of variable costs is likely to be relatively small compared to total costs.
* Regardless of the accuracy of the cost estimate, the clinic is expected to incur a loss during July.

Arguments against using the cost estimate include:

* The high-low method was used to estimate two mixed costs, and this method might provide inaccurate cost estimates.
* Clinic management may be able to alter variable costs, reducing actual costs below prior levels (e.g., by eliminating waste in the use of medical supplies).
* Clinic management may be able to negotiate a reduction in fixed costs, such as a rollback of the salary increase that took place in June; however, the reductions are not likely to occur as quickly as July and may cause the clinic to lose key staff members.
* Clinic management may be able to eliminate any discretionary expenses that are included in the cost estimate (e.g., other expenses, which amount to more than 10% of total expenses, might include discretionary items).
* To improve the clinic’s financial position, clinic management might decide to incur additional costs for promotion of services—which in turn would cause the cost estimate to be understated.

F. Student memos will vary. However, a high-quality memo would do all of the following:

* Focus on providing an accurate estimate for July costs,
* Provide a summary of estimated costs with calculation details (however, details should be presented in an exhibit rather than in the body of the memo),
* Provide the director with information to help management evaluate the reliability of the forecast by discussing items listed above in the answers to Parts D and E,
* Identify which items in the forecast are likely to be the most uncertain, and provide information to help the Director evaluate the degree of risk (e.g., by giving the range of the cost during March-June and providing a range of estimated costs for July based on different volumes of patient visits),
* Avoid asserting that the July cost estimate is either completely reliable or completely unreliable; the memo should objectively convey both positive and negative aspects of the estimate,
* Suggest a way to obtain a more accurate cost estimate (e.g., through analysis at the account level) if greater accuracy is desired, and
* Uses technical terms (e.g., fixed costs, variable costs, or high-low method) only with plain-English explanations; it is reasonable to assume that the director is not an accountant and may be unfamiliar with accounting terminology.
* The mini-case does not explicitly require students to provide a recommendation about raising fees, and a recommendation about raising fees *should not* be provided unless the memo addresses factors beyond the cost forecast that management should consider before raising fees (e.g., ability to obtain a donation to cover higher costs, ability to renegotiate the city subsidy, existence of or lack of cash funds to cover a deficit, or effect on patients of an increase in fees); any discussion about these factors should be made *in addition* to the requirements listed above.

The sample memo shown below satisfies these requirements.

**Sample Memo for Mini-Case 2.48**

Memo

To: Director, Elder Clinic

From: Student

Date: July 14, 20XX

Re: Estimated Costs for July

I understand that management is considering an increase in patient fees to reduce losses. To help managers with their decision, this memo provides an estimate for July costs and information about potential variation in the costs.

**July Cost Estimate**

Based on an analysis of costs for March through June, the schedule below provides an estimate for July costs based on a projection of 940 patient visits.

Medical staff salaries $14,115

Medical supplies used 3,500

Administrative salaries 3,412

Rent 1,100

Utilities 226

Other expenses 3,089

Total $25,442

The attached schedule describes the assumptions used and provides calculation details.

**Variation in Future Costs**

As discussed more fully in the attached schedule, actual costs during July may differ from the estimates shown above. Most of the costs are expected to be fixed, in other words to remain at a flat amount regardless of the volume of patient visits. These costs are estimated with a fairly high degree of accuracy for July. Some costs are partly variable; they are expected increase with the number of patient visits. These costs are more difficult to estimate and are more likely to differ from estimated amounts. As management looks forward to months beyond July, both the fixed and variable costs become more difficult to estimate.

**More Accurate Cost Estimates**

If you would like to obtain more accurate cost estimates, I could conduct a more thorough analysis of the details of costs in each account. This type of analysis would provide better information about which costs are likely to be fixed and variable. It would also allow identification of costs that may be discretionary and could be reduced or eliminated in the short term without hampering the quality of clinic services.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss the cost estimates or if I can be of further assistance.

Attachment: Memo to Director, Elder Clinic

July 14, 20XX

This attachment provides details about how each cost was estimated. It also discusses the likelihood that actual cost will vary from the estimated amount.

**Medical Staff Salaries**

This cost is usually stable from month-to-month. However, the amount increased during June because of a salary increase. Thus, the cost for July is likely to be the same as June ($14,115).

**Medical Supplies Used**

Medical supplies are likely to be mostly variable; each patient visit requires use of supplies such as tongue depressors, gloves, and so on. However, part of the cost may be fixed because some costs such as replacement of equipment such as thermometers. I estimated this cost using the “high-low,” method, which takes into account the costs at the highest and lowest levels of patient visits during March through June. Using this method, the cost for July is estimated to be: $219 + $3.49×940 Patient visits, or $3,500.

I also estimated this cost using regression analysis, which takes into account all of the data for March through June. Using this method, I estimated the cost to be $3,393.\* This estimate is relatively close to the original estimate of $3,500, providing greater confidence in the original estimate is not too far off but also suggesting that the estimate might be slightly high.

In addition, the cost of medical supplies could easily vary from the estimated amount because:

• Patient visits might vary from the projection of 940, causing the total cost of medical supplies to vary. For example, medical supply costs were only $3,175 during June with 842 patient visits. If patient visits are higher than projected and increase to 975 visits, the estimated cost would increase to $3,622.

• The cost of medical supplies could increase or decrease based on changes in supplier prices or transportation charges.

• The quantity of supplies used per patient could vary based on patient medical issues and the amount of wasted supplies.

In the absence of any information about changes in prices or other factors that could cause medical supply costs to vary, I believe that $3,500 is a reasonable estimate for July.

**Administrative Salaries**

This cost is usually stable from month-to-month. However, the amount increased during June because of a salary increase. Thus, the cost for July is likely to be the same as June ($3,412).

**Rent**

This cost was $1,000 per month during March through May and then increased to $1,100 during June. I assume that a rent increase occurred and that the cost is likely to remain at $1,100 during July.

**Utilities**

I assume that utility costs vary from month to month based primarily on weather. I estimated the July cost to be the same as the cost during June ($226). However, the cost could be a few hundred dollars higher; it ranged from $321 during May to $532 during March.

**Other Expenses**

Other expenses are likely to be a mixture of fixed costs (e.g., telephone, computer depreciation, and housekeeping) and costs that vary with patient visits (e.g., patient record folders, cleaning supplies, and information brochures on various medical conditions). As with medical supplies, I estimated this cost using the “high-low” method: $664 + $2.58×940 Patient visits, or $3,089.

I also estimated other expenses using regression analysis, resulting in a cost estimate of $3,080.\* This estimate is quite close to the first estimate, providing greater confidence in the amount.

However, I have not conducted a thorough study of the types of costs included in other expenses. This account might include discretionary items, which could be reduced. I recommend conducting a more thorough study of the costs in this account, which may lead to revisions in the cost estimate and also point to possible areas where cost savings could be achieved.

\*Note: Part B required students to use the high-low method for mixed costs (i.e., for medical supplies used and other expenses), so most students would probably not perform any regression analyses. However, professors may wish to require regression or to give extra credit to students who used it to improve or to evaluate the quality of their cost estimates. Below are cost plots and regression analyses for the two mixed costs.

**Scatter Plot and Regression for Medical Supplies Used**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Regression Statistics* | |
| Multiple R | 0.99638668 |
| R Square | 0.992786415 |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.989179623 |
| Standard Error | 12.03801076 |
| Observations | 4 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Coefficients* | *Standard Error* | *t Stat* | *P-value* |
| Intercept | 124.8278956 | 178.945676 | 0.697574 | 0.557629 |
| Patient Visits | 3.607504078 | 0.217440024 | 16.5908 | 0.003613 |

Cost function for Medical Supplies Used: $0 + $3.61×Patient Visits

**Scatter Plot and Regression for Other Expenses**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Regression Statistics* | |
| Multiple R | 0.998910768 |
| R Square | 0.997822722 |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.996734083 |
| Standard Error | 4.625916425 |
| Observations | 4 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Coefficients* | *Standard Error* | *t Stat* | *P-value* |
| Intercept | 701.5799347 | 68.76449593 | 10.20265 | 0.00947 |
| Patient Visits | 2.529690049 | 0.083556943 | 30.27504 | 0.001089 |

Cost function for Other Expenses: $702 + $2.53×Patient Visits

**Grading and Assessment Rubric for Mini-Case 2.48**

The next page provides a sample rubric that professors can use to grade and assess student responses to Mini-Case 2.48. Alternatively, students can use the rubric to self-assess their performance.

Note: Grading points are shown only for illustrative purposes. Each professor should decide on: (1) the number of points for the entire assignment, (2) the breakdown of points across computations/schedule, communication, and critical thinking, and (3) the range of points for each level of performance.

The textbook instructor’s manual provides more information about using this type of rubric.

**Grading and Assessment Rubric  
Mini-Case 2.48: July Cost Estimate for Elder Clinic**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Weak** | **Average** | **Professional** |
| **Computations and Cost Estimates**  Maximum 30 points  Points Earned: \_ | Up to 20 points   * Fails to correctly apply cost estimation techniques * Fails to use June costs to estimate fixed costs for salaries and rent * Fails to present a list of estimated costs and/or provide information about calculations | Up to 25 points   * Correctly applies cost estimation techniques * Correctly uses June costs to estimate fixed costs for salaries and rent * Presents list of estimated costs and provides information about calculations | Up to 30 points  In addition to average:   * Uses a reasonable basis for estimating utilities (either June level or an average) * Applies more than one technique (e.g., scatter plot or regression) to analyze cost behavior for at least some costs |
| **Written Communication**  Maximum 30 points  Points Earned: \_ | Up to 20 points   * Spelling or grammar errors interfere with understandability * Unprofessional language and/or improper memo format * Difficult to understand; poor organization * Fails to explain expected costs or calculations | Up to 25 points   * Minor spelling and/or grammar errors, which do not interfere with understandability * Uses proper memo format, but uses some unprofessional language * Organizes memo into paragraphs that enable reader to understand the information presented | Up to 30 points   * No spelling or grammar errors (or very minor) * Uses proper memo format including useful headings, and uses professional language * Concisely and clearly presents purpose, calculations, relevant information, and issues that the Director would find useful for decision making |
| **Critical Thinking**  Maximum 40 points  Points Earned: \_ | Up to 20 points | Up to 30 points | Up to 40 points |
| Overall approach | * Focuses primarily on the numbers presented | * Focuses primarily on presenting the cost estimates made and in supporting method(s) used | * Focuses primarily on providing the Director with useful information about estimated costs and their reliability |
| Identify and analyze cost behavior; identify and address uncertainties about cost behavior | * Makes erroneous statements about costs and/or fails to acknowledge assumptions used or uncertainty about cost behavior | * Applies reasonable assumptions about cost behavior, but does not provide objective evaluations of alternative assumptions | * Applies reasonable assumptions about cost behavior, and also analyzes the quality of cost estimates by considering reasonable alternative assumptions and/or methods |
| Analyze problem from the Director’s perspective | * Does not appear to be aware of the Director’s perspective or makes incorrect statement(s) about how the costs might be used for decision making | * Attempts to address the Director’s need for information, but addresses the problem primarily from own perspective | * Anticipates and addresses the Director’s information needs beyond mere presentation of cost estimates (e.g., anticipates the size of cost error that might be problematic, or recommends ways to improve cost estimates) |
| **Total Points Earned: \_\_\_** (100 maximum) | | | |

**2.49 Smeyer Industries**

The data for this problem can be found on the datasets file for chapter 2, available on both the Instructor and Student web sites for the textbook (available at www.wiley.com/college/eldenburg).

A spreadsheet showing the solutions for this problem is available on the Instructor’s web site for the textbook (available at www.wiley.com/college/eldenburg).

A. Adjustments to more accurately reflect overhead costs incurred:

Unadjusted Property Depre- Adjusted

Overhead Tax ciation1 Other Payroll3 Cost

Mar $68,200 +$500 +$36 $68,736

Apr 71,250 +500 +36

–4,300 67,486

May 68,150 +500 +36 68,686

June 73,500 +500 +36

–3,000 71,036

July 38,310 +500 +36 +$2,750 41,596

Aug 70,790 +500 +36 –2,750

+4,800 73,376

Sept 80,350 +500 +36 –10,850 2 –4,800 65,236

Oct 68,750 +500 +36 69,286

Nov 68,200 +500 +36 68,736

1 To predict future overhead, the first month is adjusted even though it is not an actual cost: 4,300/10 × 12 = 35.83 per month (rounded to $36)

2 Note IB-4's power added to Dept. IP-14

3 Payroll paid every two weeks; 1/2 of August 5 payroll goes to July: 5,500 × 1/2 = 2,750. Similarly, 80% of September 2 payroll to August: .8 × 6,000 = 4,800

The miscellaneous supplies account also looks suspicious because of its large size, but there is insufficient information to make an adjustment.

B. Operations in the month of July are not typical of the rest of the time period, as shown in the scatter plot below. If these observations are included in the regression analysis, the trendline is likely to distort costs. Because of the large difference between these values and the values in other months, July’s observation of labor hours is an outlier and should be removed from the data.



Outlier

C. Here are the regression results:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Regression Statistics* | |
| Multiple R | 0.641981 |
| R Square | 0.412139 |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.314163 |
| Standard Error | 1980.47 |
| Observations | 8 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Coefficients* | *Standard Error* | *t Stat* | *P-value* |
| Intercept | 8777.818 | 29406.26 | 0.298502 | 0.775388 |
| X Variable 1 | 6.789722 | 3.310484 | 2.050976 | 0.086126 |

Based on the t-statistic and p-value for the intercept, the fixed cost does not appear to be different from zero. Therefore, the cost function is estimated as:

Overhead cost = $6.79 × direct labor hours

This cost function does not provide accurate estimates for future costs because the direct labor hours explain only about 31% of the variation in overhead costs (based on the adjusted R-square). Thus, considerable future variation in overhead costs is likely to occur due to factors other than changes in direct labor hours.

D. According to the details provided for August and September, supplies were a large proportion of overhead cost. Based on the variation in dates at which supplies are recorded, they are most likely recorded at the time of purchase rather than at the time of use. Monthly overhead costs for supplies may be significantly overstated or understated if the amount of supplies inventory varies significantly from month to month. Thus, adjustments could be made to adjust the balance in supplies inventory each month. In addition, there could be seasonal variation in costs such as overtime pay and utilities. If the cost function is for annual costs, this may not be a problem, but if the company would like information about predicted monthly costs, these variations would need to be considered. Additional adjustments include any expected changes in costs from prior periods. For example, power costs could be adjusted upward if utility rates in the future are expected to be higher than in the past.

E. Following are three reasons for making adjustments when estimating cost functions. First, the accounting records might not accurately reflect the costs incurred during each time period. The process of preparing financial statements often includes adjustments so that costs are recorded in the correct time period. However, financial statements may be prepared less frequently than data is collected for cost estimation. Second, small adjustments that may be material when estimating a cost might not be sufficiently material to the financial statements for adjustments in the accounting records. Third, sometimes known changes have occurred in prices or cost behavior. Prior cost data should be adjusted for these changes before the data are used to estimate future costs.

**2.50 Red’s Furniture Manufacturing (Integrating Across the Curriculum: Statistics)**

[*Note about problem complexity*: This homework problem was written with adequate instructions so that students should be able to perform the various regression analyses that are called for. However, students are likely to struggle interpreting and choosing a method (Parts E and F).]

The data for this problem can be found on the datasets file for chapter 2, available on both the Instructor and Student web sites for the textbook (available at www.wiley.com/college/eldenburg).

A spreadsheet showing the solutions for this problem is available on the Instructor’s web site for the textbook (available at www.wiley.com/college/eldenburg).

A. In prior years, the cost function was estimated by regressing actual labor costs on number of chairs produced, using monthly data for the prior 4 years. Here are the simple regression results:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Regression Statistics* | |
| Multiple R | 0.7748991 |
| R Square | 0.6004687 |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.5917832 |
| Standard Error | 6216.7995 |
| Observations | 48 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Coefficients* | *Standard Error* | *t Stat* | *P-value* |
| Intercept | 133194.04 | 6710.609693 | 19.848277 | 3.437E-24 |
| Monthly Number of Chairs | 13.957296 | 1.678620854 | 8.3147402 | 1.02E-10 |

Based on the regression results, the fixed cost and the variable cost per unit are each positive and statistically different from zero. The cost function is estimated as:

Labor cost = $133,194 + $13.96 × number of chairs produced

However, the regression explains only about 59% of the variation in labor costs. Considerable variation in labor costs is not explained by changes in the volume of production.

B. Because of the annual pay increases, the prior labor costs are biased downward—future labor costs are expected to be higher than past labor costs. Also, the degree of downward bias is higher for older data than for more recent data.

C. Here are a couple of months’ data for double-checking the calculation of adjusted labor costs:

Month Original Labor Cost Calculation Adjusted Labor Cost

Jan 20X1 $203,533 $203,533 × (1.02)4 $220,311

Dec 20X4 196,347 $196,347 × 1.02 200,274

Using the adjusted labor cost data, here are the regression results:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Regression Statistics* | |
| Multiple R | 0.864722 |
| R Square | 0.747745 |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.742261 |
| Standard Error | 5148.905 |
| Observations | 48 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Coefficients* | *Standard Error* | *t Stat* | *P-value* |
| Intercept | 133736 | 5557.891 | 24.06236 | 1.05E-27 |
| Monthly Number of Chairs | 16.23439 | 1.390275 | 11.67711 | 2.35E-15 |

Based on the regression results, the fixed cost and the variable cost per unit are each positive and statistically different from zero. The cost function is estimated as:

Labor cost = $133,736 + $16.23 × number of chairs produced

This regression provides a more reasonable cost function than the previous version because it explains a higher proportion of the variation in labor costs (adjusted R-square of 0.74). Also, this cost function would result in a higher estimate for future costs. The fixed cost is nearly the same, but the variable cost increased from $13.96 to $16.23 per chair, which is reasonable given the increase in wage rates over time. Nevertheless, approximately 26% of the variation in labor costs is still unexplained.

D. Here are the multiple regression results:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Regression Statistics* | |
| Multiple R | 0.932541 |
| R Square | 0.869633 |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.863839 |
| Standard Error | 3742.411 |
| Observations | 48 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *Coefficients* | *Standard Error* | *t Stat* | *P-value* |
| Intercept | 107748.8 | 5689.492 | 18.93821 | 4.57E-23 |
| Monthly Number of Chairs | 16.26499 | 1.010513 | 16.09577 | 2.73E-20 |
| Prior Month Number of Chairs | 6.54295 | 1.00872 | 6.486389 | 5.91E-08 |

Based on the regression results, the fixed cost and the variable cost per unit for each cost driver are all positive and statistically different from zero. The cost function is estimated as:

Labor cost = $107,749 + $16.26 × current month number of chairs produced

+ $6.54 × prior month number of chairs produced

The statistics suggest that this is a more reasonable cost function than the previous version because all coefficients are positive and statistically different from zero, and this regression explains more of the variation in labor costs (86%).

E. The first slope coefficient ($16.26) is interpreted in a straightforward way; each month’s labor costs are expected to increase by $16.l6 for each chair produced during the month.

The second slope coefficient requires more thought. As explained in the problem, the company’s policy is to increase the number of workers when production volumes increase, and to decrease the number of workers when production volumes decrease. However, it often takes time for the company to hire qualified new workers, and the managers often delay laying off employees when volumes decline. Thus, there may be some lag between the time that production volumes change and labor costs change. According to the multiple regression results, each month’s labor costs are expected to increase by $6.54 for each chair produced during the *prior* month. If the prior month’s production was higher than this month’s production, then this month’s labor costs will reflect the higher level of labor cost. Conversely, if the prior month’s production was lower than this month’s production, then this month’s labor costs will reflect a lower level of labor cost.

F. There is no one single answer to this question. On one hand, it appears that both independent variables should be included in the cost function because they both have a logical cause-and-effect relationship with labor costs, and they both have positive and statistically significant coefficients. In addition, the two cost drivers together explain a very high proportion (86%) of the variation in past labor costs.

On the other hand, it could be argued that some other cost driver might do a better job of predicting future labor costs.